

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/757,099	01/08/2001	Michael Geva	GEVA 6-2-4-21	6929	
27964	7590 04/07/2006		EXAM	INER	
HITT GAINES P.C. P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083			CHOWDHURY, TA	CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/757,099	GEVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tarifur R. Chowdhury	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [In the state of th	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fixte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ENED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	March 2006.					
	is action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oce the attached detailed office detail to	or the continue copies not rese					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/757,099

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/24/06 has been entered.
- 2. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2871

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnham et al., (Burnham), USPAT 4,546,480 in view of Beernink et al., (Beernink), USPAT 5,708,674.
- 6. Burnham discloses an electronic device and method of making an electronic device having an active region (fig. 4, ref. 38) located over a substrate (fig. 4, ref. 32). Burnham teaches an undoped layer with a barrier region made up of a number of

Art Unit: 2871

barrier layers between a plurality of undoped layers (col. 5, lines 48-56) that does not form a portion of the active region.

However, the reference fails to specifically disclose that the active layer is under the barrier layer.

Beernink discloses an electronic device having an active layer (fig. 3, ref. 13) situated beneath a barrier layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have disposed on the active layer beneath the barrier layer since one would be motivated to create a device with minimal layers (col. 2, lines 30-35). By preventing unwanted layers, fabrication would not only be more cost effective and more readily manufactured, it would prevent unwanted introductions of impurity. This would ultimately enhance reliability and minimize accompanying drawbacks (col. 3, lines 1-4).

- 7. Claims 2-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnham and Beernink in view of DePoorter (WO 97/50133).
- 8. Burnham discloses an electronic device and method of making such a device having an active region (fig. 4, ref. 38) located over a substrate (fig. 4, ref. 32). Burnham teaches an undoped layer with a barrier region made up of a number of barrier layers between a plurality of undoped layers (col. 5, lines 48-56). Furthermore, the reference discloses barrier layers composed of aluminum arsenide with 5-50% aluminum composition (col. 5, lines 48-56), and having a thickness of about 1 nm and where the undoped layers each having a thickness of about 10 nm (col. 1, lines 23-34). Bunham also teaches that there are no p-n junction between the barrier and doping cladding.

Art Unit: 2871

Although the reference teaches a doped upper cladding layer (fig. 4, ref. 41), Burnham does not disclose it as being doped with zinc. Furthermore, the reference does not specifically teach the barrier inhibiting the diffusion of zinc into the active region.

DePoorter discloses a semiconductor diode with an upper cladding doped with zinc (abstract). Furthermore, the reference teaches a barrier region that inhibits the diffusion of zinc into the active region (pg. 3, lines 21-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have doped the upper cladding with zinc and to construct zinc inhibitive properties to the barrier layers since one would be motivated to alternatively have a high and low bandgap value (pg. 3, lines 21-35). Such values render the barrier layers highly effective and reliable in practice since zinc-inhibition in the layers encourage highly thin layers that have mechanical stress without defects caused by degradation of charged ions, such as zinc atoms (pg. 3, lines 21-35).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/24/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument:

1) Applicant's main argument is that Burnham does not teach that, "the barrier region does not form a portion of the active region".

Examiner's response:

First of all Applicant correctly points out that Burnham discloses an active layer

Art Unit: 2871

having an active region as well as that the active layer (36) comprises centrally located thicker active region (38) (see page 6 of applicant's remarks). Accordingly, even though the active region as well as the barrier region is part of the active layer, Applicant's argument that the barrier region does form a portion of the active region is not correct since as it is clearly shown in Fig. 4 of Burnham that the active region (38) is located at the center of the active layer having a thicker portion that does not include the plurality of undoped layers that is creating the barrier region. Therefore, Examiners position that Burnham discloses and shows a barrier region that is not part of an active region is correct and thus the rejection is proper and maintained.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-2287. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,099 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRC March 31, 2006

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER